

STEM Best Practice Educator Awards

Criteria	Fair	Good	Excellent
Introduction	Introduction lacks clarity	Provides basic information about	Engagingly introduces
	or depth.	experience and	background, passion, and commitment to STEM
		motivation.	education.
Teaching	Few examples provided,	Some creative	Creative and engaging
excellence	lack creativity.	approaches mentioned but need more detail.	methods detailed, showcasing innovation in student
		but fieed filore detail.	engagement.
Integration of	Little evidence of real-	Some examples	Multiple examples
Real-World	world integration.	provided but need more	demonstrate seamless
Applications		depth.	integration of real-world scenarios for practical
			learning.
Leadership Roles	Few leadership roles	Some leadership roles	Extensive leadership roles
	mentioned, lacking detail.	described, need more	highlighted with clear impact
		elaboration.	on STEM education community.
Collaboration	Limited collaboration	Some collaboration	Strong evidence of
	described.	efforts noted but need	collaboration with clear
		more elaboration.	outcomes and partnerships.
Professional	Rarely participates or	Participates	Actively participates and
Development	engages in professional development activities,	inconsistently or with limited engagement,	engages in professional development activities,
	lacking interest or	showing occasional	demonstrating enthusiasm and
	motivation	interest	eagerness to learn
STEM Outreach Activities	Minimal involvement in	Some participation in outreach activities but	Active involvement in
Activities	community STEM events.	need more depth.	community STEM events with clear impact on
		note more depui.	awareness and engagement.
Impact and	No recognition or awards	Some recognition or	Multiple recognitions and
recognition	mentioned.	awards received but	awards received for
		need more detail.	excellence in STEM teaching or leadership.
			or readership.

Note:

This table outlines the evaluation criteria for teachers applying for STEM Practice Awards. It assesses teachers based on their introduction, innovative teaching methods, integration of real-world applications, leadership roles, collaboration, mentorship and support, outreach activities, recognition and awards, and testimonials and endorsements. Each criterion is graded on a scale from Fair to Excellent, providing a comprehensive assessment of the teacher's contributions to STEM education and leadership